

# FINAL MEMORANDUM

**TO:** 2015 Comprehensive Plan Update File

**FROM:** Boyd Benson, Public Works

Ben Swanson, Planning (Original Memo)

REVISION DATE: June 14, 2017 (revised total growth trips, Table 7)

REVISION DATE: September 19, 2016
REVISION DATE: January 15, 2016
ORIGINAL DATE: February 10, 2015

RE: City of Duvall 2015 Capacity and Transportation Analysis Study/EIS

Alternatives

## **INTRODUCTION**

The City of Duvall Public Works and Planning Departments completed a buildable lands and Transportation Analysis Zone (TAZ) study to support development of the 2015 Comprehensive Plan amendment. The study includes baseline measurements of residential and commercial uses within the City as of January 1, 2015 and forecasts for future growth used to support residential population growth estimates, transportation planning, and modeling efforts for a period of 20 years to the horizon year of 2035.

The information generated by this study is intended for a variety of planning purposes, including impacts evaluated under the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) associated with the Comprehensive Plan Amendment. The 2015 residential count and the future residential estimate will assist in better understanding current residential uses, projecting potential additional residential and commercial development, and estimating future population. The information will also be used by the City's transportation consultant in updating the Transportation Plan Model and to forecast traffic, level of service, and required transportation improvements based on existing and future residential and commercial needs.

Prior to this analysis, a Housing Analysis Study was completed for the 2004 Comprehensive Plan update by the Planning Department (June 3, 2004). This information was used to develop the 2004 Comprehensive Plan update and the 2006 Transportation Comprehensive Plan Element update. The information was further updated as part of the 2008 Transportation Element. The 2004 and 2008 studies assumed a horizon year of 2022. The current analysis assumes a 2035 horizon year but is otherwise consistent with the previous plans and updates.

Staff also completed a Transportation Analysis Zone (TAZ) study to support development of the 2008 Transportation Plan and 2015 update. Staff subdivided the City into a total of 80 geographic TAZs within the

City based on zoning, transportation linkages, travel patterns, and other physical and modeling factors. The 2015 analysis used the same TAZs developed for the 2008 analysis with the addition of six new TAZs used to evaluate growth alternatives associated with the UGA and UGAR areas. Baseline measurements of residential and commercial uses were developed for each TAZ by City Staff in conjunction with the City's transportation consultant. The remainder of this memorandum documents the approaches and results of the 2015 study.

This study also includes analysis of five EIS alternatives proposed as part of the Comprehensive Plan Update. City limits, Urban Growth Areas (UGA), and Urban Growth Area Reserve (UGAR) areas considered in this evaluation are depicted on Figure 1.

# **BASELINE 2015 RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL USE RESULTS**

#### Residential

City of Duvall staff completed a buildable lands analysis using a geographic information system (GIS) evaluation that included 2012 air photo images, King County parcel/assessor data, sensitive areas data, and current Duvall infrastructure information. In addition, staff completed field visits and utilized City records (building permits, land use permits including entitled and vested projects, and plats) to update the data.

Residential counts include existing single- and multi-family units within City limits and UGAs as of January 2015. Pipeline projects and entitled undeveloped lots not completed by January 2015 were included in the future residential calculation. Total residential counts for the 2015, 2008, and 2004 analyses are presented in Table 1, Existing Residential Counts within 2015 City Limits and Urban Growth Areas (UGA)

Table 1: Existing Residential Counts within 2015 City Limits and Urban Growth Areas (UGA)

| Date         | Multi-family Units | Single-Family Units  |
|--------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| June 2004    | 256 <sup>1</sup>   | 1,765 <sup>1</sup>   |
| July 2008    | 186³               | 2,154 <sup>2,3</sup> |
| January 2015 | 213 <sup>3</sup>   | 2,373 <sup>2,3</sup> |

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ 2004 numbers corrected to remove residences counted in the planning process but not completed at that time.

#### **Commercial Floor Area**

City of Duvall staff documented existing Commercial Floor Area within City Limits based on King County parcel/assessor data along with City updates based on building permit data and measurements. There was no significant commercial development between 2008 and 2015 and little change in the total commercial floor area as presented in Table 2a, Commercial Floor Area within 2015 City Limits. Details of existing commercial development within each zone are presented in Table 2b, Commercial Floor Area by Zone within 2015 City Limits.

Table 2a: Commercial Floor Area within 2015 City Limits

| Date         | Commercial Floor Area (SF) |
|--------------|----------------------------|
| June 2004    | NA (poor Data)             |
| July 2008    | 425,727 <sup>1</sup>       |
| January 2015 | 426,221 <sup>1</sup>       |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Includes Mobile Homes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Does not include UGAR area (2008 value recalculated to remove UGAR residences).

Table 2b: Commercial Floor Area by Zone within 2015 City Limits

|       |          | Existing Comm | ercial Developme | ent            |
|-------|----------|---------------|------------------|----------------|
|       |          |               |                  |                |
|       | Total FA | Max FA/Gross  | Min FA/Gross     | Ave. FA/ Gross |
| Zone  | (SF)     | Lot Area      | Lot Area         | Lot Area       |
| UT    | 12,259   | 31%           | 14%              | 18%            |
| ОТ    | 101,908  | 191%          | 27%              | 65%            |
| MT    | 138,715  | 88%           | 15%              | 42%            |
| MU12  | 25,960   | 18%           | 16%              | 18%            |
| LI    | 56,200   | 54%           | 15%              | 18%            |
| СО    | 91,179   | 43%           | 1%               | 18%            |
| Total | 426,221  |               |                  |                |

## **Employment**

The City of Duvall uses Puget Sound Regional Council's estimates to track employment data. These employment estimates are based on the Washington State Employment Security Department's (ESD) Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages (QCEW) series (formerly known as ES-202). This series consists of employment for those firms, organizations and individuals whose employees are covered by the Washington Unemployment Insurance Act. Covered employment excludes self-employed workers, proprietors, CEOs, and other non-insured workers. Typically, covered employment has represented 85 to 90 percent of total employment. Note that this includes part-time and temporary employment, and if a worker holds more than one job, each job would appear in the database. Total employment within City limits for 2004, 2008, 2013, and 2014 is presented in Table 3, Existing Employment within 2015 City Limits.

Table 3: Existing Employment within 2015 City Limits

| Source   | Count |
|--|-------|
| Total PSRC Count (2004)                                  | 1,023 |
| Total PSRC Count (2008)                                  | 1,165 |
| Total PSRC Count (2013)                                  | 1,298 |
| Total Employment Security Department (2014) <sup>1</sup> | 1,169 |

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ City of Duvall analysis of 3rd Quarter 2014 Employment Security Department parcel-based data.

## **FUTURE RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL USE RESULTS FOR 2015 ZONING**

# General

There are currently a total of 14 zoning types within the City (2015). The zoning types include residential, mixed residential and commercial/light-industrial, commercial/light-industrial, and public facilities. The residential zones are separated into R-4 (four units per acre), R-4.5 (4.5 units per acre), R-6 (six units per acre), R-8 (eight units per acre) and R-12 (12 units per acre). The mixed-use zones include zones with specific requirements and characteristics within different portions of the City, and include Old Town (OT), Uptown (UT-1), Midtown (MT), Riverside Village (RIV), Mixed-Use-12 (MU12), and Mixed-Use Institutional (MUI). The commercial zones

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>2008 to 2015 differences result from updated King County GIS building area data

include Commercial (CO) and Light-Industrial (LI). The Public Facilities (PF) zone includes parks, open space, and public facility buildings and other facilities. Existing Zoning is presented in Table 4, 2015 Existing Zoning.

Table 4: 2015 Existing Zoning

| Zoning | Description <sup>1</sup>                                 |
|--------|--|
| R4     | 4 units per acre   |
| R4.5   | 4.5 units per acre                                       |
| R6     | 6 units per acre   |
| R8     | 8 units per acre   |
| R12    | 12 units per acre (20% attached minimum)                 |
| ОТ     | Retail, office, residential (100% attached)              |
| UT-1   | Retail, office, residential (100% attached)              |
| MT     | Commercial, office, residential (100% attached)          |
| RIV    | Retail, office, residential (100% attached)              |
| MU12   | Commercial and residential (20% attached minimum)        |
| MUI    | Mixed-use and institutional (100% attached)              |
| СО     | Retail, office, and residential (100% attached)          |
| LI     | Light industrial and office (no residential allowed)     |
| PF     | Parks, schools, City facilities (no residential allowed) |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Percent attached is the minimum percentage of attached residential units with respect to the total residential units.

The following presents the approach used in this study, consistent with the 2008 update, to estimate future residential and commercial development.

## Vacant and Redevelopable Residential Property and Capacity Analysis

Vacant and redevelopable residential properties within the City were identified by examining parcel maps with respect to existing uses to determine which properties are available for future development or redevelopment based on the existing zoning and code requirements (see Figure 2: 2015 Vacant and Redevelopable Property). Development within the southwest (Burhen) UGA and east UGAR was not included as part of the baseline calculation.

Identification of Vacant, Pipeline and Redevelopable Residential Property

Properties within the R-4 through R-12 zones were evaluated with respect to development or redevelopment potential based on the following:

- All vacant parcels were identified as developable.
- All pipeline parcels were identified as developable.
- Redevelopable parcels were identified as those parcels which would accommodate additional lots, assuming that the parcels were of sufficient area to provide additional lots based on the zoning classification. Per DMC, the unit count for a parcel is rounded from the number resulting from the parcel acreage multiplied by the residential count per acre (for example, a 0.3 acre parcel in R4 yields (0.3) X (4 units per acre) = 1.2 units rounded down to 1 unit and a 0.45 acre parcel in R4 yield (0.45) X (4 units per acre) = 1.8 units rounded up to 2 units).
- Non-redevelopable lots that have adequate area for additional unit(s) but are encumbered by mapped sensitive areas tracts.
- Non-redevelopable lots that have adequate area for additional unit(s) but are encumbered by access
  constraints including insufficient frontage width and/or existing home location.

Capacity of Vacant, Pipeline, and Redevelopable Residential Property

Properties identified as vacant or redevelopable within the R-4 through R-12 zones were evaluated with an approach generally consistent with that of the 2004 and 2008 studies. Pipeline projects, including existing entitled and preliminary projects, were used to forecast anticipated residential and commercial development. The method used to estimate future residential and commercial uses in areas with unknown development plans are presented in the following sections and are summarized along with Existing Zoning presented in Table 4, 2015 Existing Zoning, and Table 5a, FAR and Residential Development Assumptions, and Table 5b, 2015 Vacant and Redevelopable Land within City Limits & North UGA.

As in 2008, the 2015 capacity evaluation included revised assumptions that better reflect actual development trends. The 2008 and 2015 capacity evaluations are based on the following:

- Vacant and pipeline residential properties were anticipated to develop at 90 percent of maximum development potential to account for any yield reductions associated with critical areas.
- Redevelopable residential properties were anticipated to redevelop at 45 percent of maximum development potential to account for any yield reductions because of site constraints and the associated costs of redevelopment.
- The North UGA was estimated R-4 density consistent with the land use designation.

## Vacant and Redevelopable Commercial and Mixed-Use Property

The 2008 and 2015 commercial, light industrial and mixed-use capacity evaluations are based on existing commercial Floor Area data summarized in Table 2b, the information in Table 5a, FAR and Residential Development Assumptions, and the following:

- Pipeline commercial, light industrial, and mixed-use properties were anticipated to develop at 90 percent of maximum development potential.
- Vacant commercial, light industrial, and mixed-use properties were anticipated to develop at 75
  percent of maximum development potential to account for any yield reductions associated with
  development costs or critical areas.
- Redevelopable commercial, light industrial, and mixed-use properties were anticipated to develop at 45 percent of maximum development potential to account for any yield reductions associated with existing structures, development costs, or critical areas.

## Mixed-Use 12 (MU12)

Based on the requirements in DMC 14.18.080, the Mixed-Use-12 Zone allows both commercial and residential uses. Based on proposed and past development within the City, development within this zone is estimated at:

- 25 percent commercial site area based on the gross site area.
- 20 percent commercial FAR based on the commercial site area.
- 8 units per non-commercial gross acre, including 20 percent attached per DMC 14.18.020.
- One residential unit per 1,000 square feet of mixed-use floor area.

Old Town (OT), Uptown (UT1), Midtown (MT), Riverside Village (RIV), Commercial (CO).

There are no minimum FAR requirements for these zones. However, based on past development within the City and the pre-application submittal for the Copper Hill Square Building D project, future use within these zones is estimated as:

- 75 percent commercial FAR based on the gross site area.
- One residential unit per 1,000 square feet of mixed-use floor area.

### Mixed-Use Institutional (MUI)

Mixed-Use Institutional is located only within the south portion of the City along NE Big Rock Road and includes the proposed Tolle Brothers (CamWest)/Lake Washington Technical College project. Data from the 2014 Duvall Urban Village site plan was used to estimate potential commercial, residential, and educational growth in this zone:

- 15 percent commercial FAR based on the gross site area (Duvall Urban Village Proposal).
- Total of 91,000 square feet of education use (Riverview School District Property, based on previously proposed Lake Washington Technical College Parcel use).
- No residential use (Walden/Duvall Urban Village Proposal, residential use may occur above commercial uses).

## Light-Industrial (LI)

There are no minimum FAR requirements for these zones. However, based on past development within the City use within this zone is estimated as:

• 30 percent commercial FAR based on the gross site area.

Table 5a: FAR and Residential Development Assumptions

| Zone | Commercial Floor Area (FA)    | Residential Portion   |
|------|-------------------------------|---|
| OT   | (gross acreage)x(0.75)        | (FA)x(1 unit/1,000SF)                                       |
| UT-1 | (gross acreage)x(0.75)        | (FA)x(1 unit/1,000SF)                                       |
| MT   | (gross acreage)x(0.75)        | (FA)x(1 unit/1,000SF)                                       |
| RIV  | (gross acreage)x(0.75)        | (FA)x(1 unit/1,000SF)                                       |
| MU12 | (gross acreage)x(0.25)x(0.20) | (gross acreage) $x(0.75)*(8 \text{ units/acre}) + (FA)x(1)$ |
|      | See above text                | unit/1,000SF)   |
| MUI  | (gross acreage)x(0.3)         | See above text  |
| СО   | (gross acreage)x(0.3)         | (FA)x(1 unit/1,000SF)                                       |
| LI   |                               | None  |

Table 5b: 2015 Vacant and Redevelopable Land within City Limits & North UGA

|                          | 2015 Vacant and Nedevelopable Land Within City Linnes & North OG/ |       |               |       |               |       |                   |                 |         |                                  |          |
|--------------------------|---|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|----------------------------------|----------|
|                          |   | Resid | Vac<br>ential | cant  | nercial       | Resid | Redeve<br>lential | lopable<br>Comm | nercial |                                  | Vacant & |
|                          | Total (City<br>and North<br>UGA) <sup>1</sup>                     | Value | % of          | Value | % of<br>Total | Value | % of<br>Total     | Value           | % of    | Redevelopable  % of  Value Total |          |
| Number of<br>Parcels (#) | 2541  | 65    | 2.6%          | 44    | 1.7%          | 87    | 3.4%              | 30              | 1.2%    | 226                              | 8.9%     |
| Parcel Area<br>(Acres)   | 1412  | 117   | 8.3%          | 87.7  | 6.2%          | 185   | 13%               | 59.3            | 4.2%    | 449                              | 31.8%    |

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  Includes Commercial, Residential, and Public Facilities Parcels.

## **RESULTS OF THE LAND USE FORECAST FOR 2015 ZONING**

# Land Use

The 2008 and 2015 Land Use Forecasts for current zoning were completed using the same methodology and had similar results with the following differences:

- Duvall Municipal Code change from 60 percent attached to 20 percent attached residential within the MU12 district (decrease in attached units).
- Removal of the UGAR from the 2015 analysis.

• The southwest (Burhen) UGA was not included in either analysis.

Both maximum and anticipated growth were calculated for the 2035 horizon year. Maximum growth is based on the penultimate development/redevelopment potential of the property as allowed by the Comprehensive Plan and Zoning regulations. Anticipated growth was calculated using the 90 percent, 75 percent, and 45 percent modifiers as earlier described in the Residential and Commercial capacity calculations. Anticipated growth, as opposed to Maximum Growth, was deemed a more realistic proxy for growth and was utilized for this study. Table 6, *Land Use Forecast for City Limits and North UGA*, 2015 Zoning, summarizes the land use forecast for the City Limits/UGA using existing Comprehensive Plan and Zoning for the period between 2015 and 2035.

Table 6: Land Use Forecast for City Limits and North UGA, 2015 Zoning

| USE                      |                    | 2035 Anticipated   |                             |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
|                          | 2015               | TOTAL              | Change from 2015            |  |  |  |
| SFR (Units)              | 2,373 <sup>1</sup> | 3,213 <sup>1</sup> | 840 (135%)                  |  |  |  |
| MFR (Units)              | 213                | 491                | <mark>278 (231%)</mark>     |  |  |  |
| Commercial FA (SF)       | 370,021            | 964,790            | <mark>594,769 (261%)</mark> |  |  |  |
| Light Industrial FA (SF) | 56,200             | 89,685             | <mark>33,485 (160%)</mark>  |  |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Includes Mobile Homes

## **EIS ALTERNATIVES**

#### General

Four land use alternatives, along with a fifth preferred alternative, were evaluated as part of the EIS associated with the 2015 Comprehensive Plan Update. The EIS alternatives evaluate the proposal, the no-action alternative, and other "reasonable alternatives" [WAC 197-11-786, 197-11-440(5)]. A reasonable alternative is a feasible alternate course of action that meets the proposal's objective at a lower environmental cost. The EIS examines all areas of probable significant adverse environmental impact associated with the various alternatives. The Adopted Alternative of the final Comprehensive Plan was adopted as part of the 2015 Comprehensive Plan (Adopted June 7, 2016).

# The 2015 EIS alternatives included:

- Alternative #1: Proposed 2015 comprehensive plan and zoning changes, annexation of the North UGA and the Southwest (Burhen) UGA.
- Alternative #2: Predesignating and annexation of the UGAR (Southeast UGA), predesignating and annexation of the North UGA.
- Alternative #3: Relocating some (or all) of the existing UGAR area to a new location south of Big Rock Road, predesignating and annexation of the North UGA.
- Alternative #4 (No Action Alternative): 2015 City limits and zoning, predesignating and annexation of the North UGA.
- 2015 Adopted Alternative: Alternative 1 with the following changes
  - Support annexation of three parcels adjacent to the Big Rock Ballfields complex within the UGAR.
  - The City would work with King County to remove the north portion of the UGAR from their boundaries and add an approximately equal area south of Big Rock Road that is currently outside of city limits and urban growth area.

 The City would pre-designate the Riverview School District-owned parcel at the northeast corner of the UGAR to Public Facilities.

Existing and potential future residential and commercial uses for each EIS alternative were calculated using the methodology earlier described. For the purpose of this analysis, an evaluation area was developed that included the area common to all of the alternatives. This common area includes existing City Limits, North UGA, Southwest (Burhen) UGA, the 2015 UGAR, and the potential relocated UGAR south of Big Rock Road (see Alternative 3). This approach allows direct comparison of growth associated with each alternative without considering differences in land area. The evaluation also allows direct comparison of PM Peak hour trips, used to assess traffic impacts, for each alternative.

Employment growth for the Adopted Alternative within 2015 Comprehensive Plan was initially evaluated as a "worst-case" (maximum growth) scenario to understand the maximum potential traffic impacts associated with growth. However, transportation modeling completed in conjunction with the 2015 Comprehensive Plan revealed that there was little difference between the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) projects required to accommodate growth impacts associated with maximum growth and TIP projects associated with lesser, anticipated growth. The worst-case employment growth was commented on during Comprehensive Plan review and certification process by Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC) staff. Residential growth, the majority of which is considered "pipeline" growth (residential growth associated with preliminary plat or development agreements), was considered to be a more realistic projection and was not adversely commented upon during PSRC review.

The City of Duvall revised the commercial growth model in 2016 to provide a better understanding of anticipated employment growth. The revision included evaluation of commercial and employment growth within each TAZ with respect to updated private development plans and potential sensitive area constraints. The results of the evaluation revealed less commercial and employment growth than previously reported in the 2015 Comprehensive Plan and associated Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) support documents. Table 7, EIS Alternatives, summarizes updated development and traffic attributes for existing 2015 development and 2035 development growth associated with the Adopted Alternative contained within the 2015 City of Duvall Comprehensive Plan.

Table 7: EIS Alternatives

| Scenario <sup>1</sup> | Description   | SFR DU             | MFR DU           | Total<br>DU | LI (SF FA) | Commercial<br>(SF FA) | Employees | PM Peak<br>Hour trips<br>SFR <sup>3</sup> | PM Peak<br>Hour trips<br>MRF <sup>4</sup> | PM Peak Hour<br>trips<br>Commercial <sup>5</sup> | Total PM<br>Peak Hour<br>Trips |
|-----------------------|---|--------------------|------------------|-------------|------------|-----------------------|-----------|---|---|--|--------------------------------|
| _                     | Existing City Limits plus all other UGA/UGAR areas within the study | 2,268 <sup>6</sup> | 389 <sup>6</sup> | 2,657       | 56,200     | 370,021               | 1,169     | 2,268                                     | 350                                       | 2,990  | 5,608                          |
| Alternative           | Adopted Alternative (EIS Alt 1 with additional changes).            | 3,094              | 750              | 3,844       | 89,685     | 789,767               | 2,623     | <del>3,094</del>                          | <del>675</del>                            | <del>7,414</del>                                 | 8,982 <sup>7</sup>             |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Scenario includes count for entire study area (all possible UGA/UGAR's) so comparisons between scenarios.

- Total trips (actually trip ends) are different between Table 7 and Visum model. I can't break out the trips associated with each land use in the Visum model (trips are converted to home-to-work etc), so the comparison is to total trip ends. Table 7 has a growth of 5575 trip ends, and the Visum model has just 3374.
- Besides the Visum model balancing procedures, the main difference is likely due to the retail trip rate. The weighted average Visum model retail trip rate was 3.83 and 2.81 for 2015 and 2035 models, respectively. The future 2035 model rate of 2.81 is much lower than the default 3.99 in the spreadsheet (source of Table 7). When looking at just the retail growth employees, the retail trip rate is 2.19

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>SFR DU count differs from Table 6 (see note 1, includes 71 existing homes within UGA/UGAR located outside of Table 6 area).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> SFR trip rate of 1.0 trips per unit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>MFR trip rate averaged to 0.90 trips per unit.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Commercial Trip rate varies with type of use.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 176 mobile home included in MFR instead of SFR as reflected in Comprehensive Plan approach (151 units from TAZ 42, 25 units from TAZ 2) based on trip generation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> June 14, 2017 revision: Actual growth trips developed from the Transportation Model are lower than sum of spate SFR+MFR+Commercial because of:

Adopted Alternative #5 - 2015 Comprehensive Plan and Zoning Changes, North UGA annexation, Southwest (Burhen) UGA annexation, Annexation of three parcels adjacent to the Big Rock Ballfields complex within the UGAR, and pre-designate the Riverview School District-owned parcel at the northeast corner of the UGAR to Public Facilities

#### General

Staff proposed eliminating the Riverside Village (RIV) zone and reducing the Mixed-Use 12 zone (MU12), reducing the acreage of Residential 12 (R12) units per acre zoning and creating a Residential 20 (R20) units per acre zone as part of the 2015 Comprehensive Plan update. These proposed changes are in response to historically problematic zones, lack of housing options, or unnecessary hardships created by the existing 2015 Comprehensive Plan and Zoning designation. The proposed changes are not intended to increase or reduce the existing population projections or change the amount of land available for commercial development. The proposal includes predesignating the North UGA and Southwest (Burhen) UGA in anticipation of annexation. An element of the predesignation would include the addition of a new open space/parks comprehensive plan and zoning designation.

The results of these proposed changes and proposed actions are summarized in Table 7, EIS Alternative Summary, Figure 3, Adopted Alternative, 2015 Comprehensive Plan, and the text below.

## **Description of Adopted Alternative Action**

## Riverside Village (RIV)

The adopted rezone is in response to the sale of the existing manufactured home community which represents approximately three-fourths of the Riverside Village zone. Under the terms of the sale, the parcel must remain a manufactured home community (affordable housing) for well beyond the 20-year Comprehensive Plan cycle; therefore, no foreseeable commercial development will take place on this parcel.

As a result, a comprehensive plan amendment and rezoning of this parcel to Residential 6 units per acre (R6) was adopted to allow the existing manufactured home community to operate as a legal conforming use. The R6 designation was derived by averaging the existing units per acre at the community. The remaining seven parcels within the RIV zone was changed to an Old Town (OT) zone which is compatible with surrounding land uses. A Comprehensive Plan amendment is not required for the RIV to OT change because the RIV and OT designation are the same.

## Mixed-Use 12 (MU12)

The adopted rezone of the majority of the Mixed-Use 12 was adopted based on challenges associated with the zone's location, required commercial element, and density.

- DMC 14.18 requires a minimum of 25 percent of the gross usable area be dedicated to commercial development, and this commercial development is constructed concurrently or phased through a development agreement. All MU12 development within the last decade requested phasing (delaying the commercial development) through a developer agreement due to a greater demand for residential development in the Duvall area.
- A large portion of the land zoned MU12 is located along the NE 143<sup>rd</sup> Place corridor. This zoning designation is not appropriate at this location as it does not front a major or minor arterial and therefore limits traffic trips, access, and circulation.
- Density for the residential portion of MU12 development requires a minimum of 8 units per acre and a maximum of 12 units per acre, similar to the R12 zone. Developers have repeatedly stated that this density is too high for single-family development (difficult to meet design guidelines and open space

requirements) and too low for multi-family development (not profitable for a developer to build based on number of allowed units).

Staff proposed and Council adopted that areas currently zoned MU12 and contiguous to arterial roads be zoned Commercial. MU12 properties near arterial road and adjacent to residential areas were rezoned to the new R20 zone described below. The remaining MU12 adjacent to lower density residential areas rezoned to the R8 zone or other transitional zoning that allows a gradual increase in residential density or use.

The Duvall Village and Duvall Urban Village properties remained under the MU12 zoning designation. These properties have preliminary plats that are approved or pending approval. Upon final plat approval, these properties will undergo comprehensive plan amendment and rezone in accordance with their established uses.

## Residential 12 (R12)

The R12 zone has the same challenges described for the residential portion of the MU12 zone. The majority of the R12 zones build to the minimum requirement of eight units per acre based on existing preliminary plats and residential developments. Several existing developments are currently built at greater than R8 standards and technically meet the R12 density standards. Staff proposed and Council adopted that such developments are retained in the R12 zone to avoid creating legal nonconforming lots. All other vacant and redevelopable R12 properties were rezoned to an R8 to create a smoother transition between zones.

### Residential 20 (R20)

Staff proposed and Council adopted a new R20 comprehensive plan designation and zone to satisfy the multifamily need in Duvall, specifically small unit condominiums and apartments that were not feasible in the R12 and MU12 zones. Additionally, the R20 zone will aid in compliance with the Growth Management Act by promoting a variety of residential densities and housing types. The new R20 zones provide housing options for existing residents looking to downsize, retirement communities, and potential affordable housing in Duvall.

## Predesignation of Burhen Annexation Area and New Parks and Open Space

The Burhen annexation area consists of a 39-acre area south of Safeway on the corner of NE 140<sup>th</sup> Street and SR-203. The area is currently designated UGAR through an agreement between the City and King County. The agreement stipulates the comprehensive plan and zoning designations that include a commercial allowance and a new open space/park designation. The new open space/park designation is a requirement of King County and is intended to limit the allowed uses to recreation. The County feels the existing Public Facilities designation is too liberal with the allowed uses. In accordance with the agreement, the two northern parcels are predesignated commercial, and the southern two parcels are designated open space/parks with a floating one-acre commercial designation to be determined at time of annexation.

Development within this area was estimated to include the following based on King County pre-zoning designation:

- North parcel: Full commercial development.
- South portion of property: One acre of floating commercial with the remaining to be open space or parkland.

# Additional Annexation Areas

- The City would support annexation of two parcels north of Big Rock Ball Fields on Big Rock Road located in the UGA-Reserve South. The two parcels would receive a pre-designation of Residential 4-4.5 units per acre.
- The City would support annexation of one parcel south of Big Rock Ball Fields on Big Rock Road located
  in the UGA-Reserve South. The parcel would receive a pre-designation of Residential 4-4.5 units per
  acre and/or Public Facilities to support expansion of the Big Rock Ball Fields recreational complex.

2016 Transportation Plan Update June 14, 2017 Page 12 of 14

- The City would work with the County to remove the north portion of the UGA-Reserve from their boundaries and add an approximately equal area south of Big Rock Road that is currently outside of city limits and not part of Duvall's urban growth area (similar to the proposal under Alternative 3).
   Twenty-five percent of the area south of Big Rock Road would be assigned a pre-designation of Commercial and 75 percent would be assigned a pre-designation of Residential 6 units per acre.
- The City would pre-designate the Riverview School District-owned parcel at the northeast corner of the UGA-Reserve to Public Facilities.

# **List of Figures:**

Figure 1: Adopted 2015 Zoning Map, Duvall City Limits, UGA, and UGAR.

Figure 2: 2015 Vacant and Redevelopable Residential Property.



